There has been no failure of crops due to the failure of monsoon in the other districts of the State. I may therefore inform the House that the district authorities are taking all possible steps to grant remission to the extent permissible to the affected ryots in areas where there has been partial failure of monsoon resulting in failure of crops under some tanks.

III.— GOVERNMENT BILLS.

(1) THE MADRAS PLACES OF PUBLIC RESORT (AMENDMENT) BILL, 1964.

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : Sir, on behalf of the Hon. Minister for Health, I move—

"That leave be granted for the introduction of the Madras Places of Public Resort (Amendment) Bill, 1964."

MR. SPEAKER : The question is—

"That leave be granted for the introduction of the Madras Places of Public Resort (Amendment) Bill, 1964."

The motion was put and carried and leave was granted.

THE HON. SRI R. VENKATARAMAN : Sir, I introduce the Bill.

MR. SPEAKER : The Bill is introduced.

(2) THE TIRUCHIRAPPALLI KAIAERUVARAM AND MATTUVARAM (EXTENSION OF APPLICATION) BILL, 1964 (L.A. BILL NO. 7 OF 1964).

THE HON SRI V. RAMAIAH : Sir, I move—

"That the Tiruchirappalli Kaiaeruvaram and Mattuvaram (Extension of Application) Bill, 1964 (L.A. Bill No. 7 of 1964) [a] be taken into consideration."

The Tiruchirappalli Kaieuvaram and Mattuvaram Act, 1958 (Madras Act XXXVI of 1958) came into force on 1st April 1959 and it extends to the villages in Tiruchirappalli district specified in the Schedule to the Act. Long after the Act was brought into force, it was brought to the notice of the Government that the peculiar kinds of relationship in respect of land called kaiaeruvaram and mattuvaram were prevalent also in three other villages of Kulithalai taluk, Tiruchirappalli district, viz., Renganathapuram, Mayanoor and Manavasi. It has been suggested that the said villages may be included in the Schedule to Madras Act XXXVI of 1958 so as to afford protection to the Kaiaeruvaramdars and Mattuvaramdars of those villages.

Nearly five years have elapsed since Madras Act XXXVI of 1958 came into force. During this period, there might have been changes in the Kaiaeruvaramdars and Mattuvaramdars in respect of

---

[a] Published in the *Fort St. George Gazette* Extraordinary, dated 10th March 1964.